

Cen

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,177	01/12/2001	Franz Amtmann	ntmann AT 000001 9986	
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590 03/02/2007	7	EXAMINER	
	CTUAL PROPERTY	KIM, KEVIN		
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY I	DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA			2611	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/759,177	AMTMANN, FRANZ			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin Y. Kim	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 December 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-6,9,11-14,17,20 and 22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-6,9,11-14,17,20 and 22 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed December 19, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that claims1 and 12 was misconstrued when they were rejected under 35 USC 112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph. Specifically, applicant asserts that the limitation "the receiving means configured to be controllable as regards the value of both the at least one second transmission coil and the capacitor configuration" is not supposed to mean that *both* the second transmission coil and the capacitor configuration are controllable. If that is the case, then the claims are such that the receiving means is controllable with respect to the value of either of the second transmission coil and the capacitor configuration. Then, the ground of rejection in the Office action of January 19, 2006 applies again since that rejection was made with the understanding that the receiving means is controllable with respect to the value of either of the second transmission coil and the capacitor configuration.

Regarding the amendment to the claims by adding "by an impedance value due to control over" both the second transmission coil and the capacitor configuration in place of "as regards the value of" both the second transmission coil and the capacitor configuration, the capacitance of the capacitor configuration (24,28) of the prior art is "an impedance value" since a capacitor is an impedance.

Thus, the ground of the rejection of the claims, as set forth in the Office action of January 19, 2006 is reinstated in light of the argument particularly regarding the limitation "the receiving

means configured to be controllable as regards the value of both the at least one second transmission coil and the capacitor configuration" as discussed above.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claims 1-5,7-9, 12-14,17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Trontelj (US 6,208,235 previously cited).

#### Claim 1.

Referring to Fig.1, Trontelj teaches a data carrier (12) configured to communicate with a communication station (10) with the aid of a carrier signal having a given carrier signal frequency. The data carrier (12) includes a receiving-means configuration for receiving the carrier signal, wherein the receiving-means configuration includes;

a first switching means (34), which is switchable between a conductive switching state and a nonconductive switching state,

a first transmission coil (Lc) that can be short-circuited with the aid of the first switching means, through which a coil current ( $I_2$ ) flows during a communication process with a communication station, during which process the switching means is in its conductive switching state and the coil current ( $I_2$ ) has a phase lag with respect to the voltage across the first transmission coil (col. 7, line 45), and

a capacitor configuration (24, 28) arranged in parallel with at least one

Application/Control Number: 09/759,177

Art Unit: 2611

second transmission coil (Lr), causing a coil current ( $I_1$ ) through the second transmission coil during a communication process with a communication station, where the coil current ( $I_1$ ) has a phase lead with respect to the voltage across the second transmission coil (col. 7, line 37).

The data carrier (12) is further characterized in that the receiving means configuration is configured to be controllable by an impedance value due to control over both the at least one second transmission coil (Lr) and the capacitor configuration (24, 28), wherein the controllable value is selected so that, during the communication process with a communication station, the respective magnetic fields associated with the first transmission coil and the at least one second transmission coil are cancelled. See col. 6, lines 49-51. Col.5, line 32-36 describes the controlling of the capacitance, i.e., impedance, of the capacitor configuration (24, 28).

### Claims 2, 3 and 7.

See col.5, line 32-36 describing the controlling of the capacitance of the capacitor configuration (24, 28).

#### Claim 4.

Trontelj shows the capacitor configuration is comprised of a first capacitor (24) and at least one series arrangement of a second capacitor (28) and a second switching means arranged in parallel with the first capacitor (24). Although the second switch is not illustrated, its presence can be easily deduced by noting that since the MOD output is switchable between a conductive switching state (when the ground connection is made) and a non-conductive switching state (when the ground connection is broken), a switch is present between the second capacitor (28) in series with the ground. See col. 5, lines 32-36.

Claim 5.

Fig.1 of Trontelj clearly shows that the capacitor configuration (24/28) is disposed in series with the first transmission coil (Lc).

Claim 8.

Referring to Fig.2A, Trontelj shows a second embodiment where the receiving means (12) is configured such that the value of the least one second transmission coil (L2) is controllable. See col. 8. lines 12-23 in particular.

Claim 12. (which is substantially the same as claim 1 except that it does not require the capacitor configuration (24, 28) to be arranged in parallel with at least one second transmission coil (Lr))

Referring to Fig.1, Trontelj teaches a data carrier (12) configured to communicate with a communication station (10) with the aid of a carrier signal having a given carrier signal frequency. The data carrier (12) includes a receiving-means configuration for receiving the carrier signal, wherein the receiving-means configuration includes;

a first switching means (34), which is switchable between a conductive switching state and a nonconductive switching state,

a first transmission coil (Lc) that can be short-circuited with the aid of the first switching means, through which a coil current (I<sub>2</sub>) flows during a communication process with a communication station, during which process the switching means is in its conductive switching

Page 6

Art Unit: 2611

state and the coil current (I<sub>2</sub>) has a phase lag with respect to the voltage across the first transmission coil (col. 7, line 45), and

a capacitor configuration (24, 28), causing a coil current ( $I_1$ ) through the second transmission coil during a communication process with a communication station, where the coil current ( $I_1$ ) has a phase lead with respect to the voltage across the second transmission coil (col. 7, line 37).

The data carrier (12) is further characterized in that the receiving means configuration is configured to be controllable by an impedance value due to control over both the at least one second transmission coil (Lr) and the capacitor configuration (24,28), wherein the controllable value is selected so that, during the communication process with a communication station, the respective magnetic fields associated with the first transmission coil and the at least one second transmission coil are cancelled. See col. 6, lines 49-51. Col.5, line 32-36 describes the controlling of the capacitance, i.e., impedance, of the capacitor configuration (24, 28).

#### Claim 13.

Fig.1 of Trontelj clearly shows the capacitor configuration is comprised of a first capacitor (24) and at least one series arrangement of a second capacitor (28) and a second switching means arranged in parallel with the first capacitor (24). Although the second switch is not illustrated, its presence can be easily deduced by noting that since the MOD output is switchable between a conductive switching state (when the ground connection is made) and a non-conductive switching state (when the ground connection is broken), a switch is present between the second capacitor (28) in series with the ground. See col. 5, lines 32-36.

# Claim 14.

Application/Control Number: 09/759,177 Page 7

Art Unit: 2611

Fig. 1 shows that the capacitor configuration (24,28) is in series with the first transmission coil (Lc) and in parallel with the at least one second transmission coil (Lr).

#### Claim 16.

Fig.1 of Trontelj clearly shows the capacitor configuration is comprised of a first capacitor (24) and a second capacitor (28), wherein the first capacitor is in parallel with the second transmission coil (Lr), which is in a series with the first transmission coil (Lc) and a second switching means arranged in parallel with the first capacitor (24). Although the second switch is not illustrated, its presence can be easily deduced by noting that since the MOD output is switchable between a conductive switching state (when the ground connection is made) and a non-conductive switching state (when the ground connection is broken), a switch is present between the second capacitor (28) in series with the ground. See col. 5, lines 32-36.

## **Claims 17 and 18.**

See col.5, line 32-36 describing the controlling of the capacitance of the capacitor configuration (24, 28).

#### Claim 19.

Referring to Fig.2A, Trontelj shows a second embodiment where the receiving means (12) is configured such that the value of the least one second transmission coil (L2) is controllable. See col. 8. lines 12-23 in particular.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/759,177

Art Unit: 2611

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 8

5. Claims 9,11, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trontelj as applied to claims 8 and 19 above respectively in view of Burkhardt et al (US 3,951,230 cited previously).

Trontelj discloses all the subject matter claimed except that there are a plurality of second transmission coils. However, the number of the second transmission coils, which are in fact well known inductor coils, to provide a desired inductance is considered as a matter of design choice ton one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in that a desired inductance could be provided by serial connecting inductors, as evidenced by Burkhardt et al. See col. 2, lines 30-32. In other words, a single inductor is equivalent to a serially connected inductors of smaller inductance. Furthermore, the specification has fails to discloses criticality of using plural inductors as opposed to a single inductor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Y. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM --5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/759,177 Page 9

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

February 26, 2007

AU 2611

KEVIN KIM
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER